

**RFP Question and Answers
for
RFP 10-01
East Bay Greenway Project
Engineering, Environmental Clearance and Implementation Strategy**

ID:	1	Source:	Email
Question:		Answer:	
Is there a team or firm who did preliminary work on this project who will be a likely shoe-in for this project?		No. Preliminary work was done by the project's co-sponsor Urban Ecology, a non-profit organization.	

ID:	2	Source:	Email
Question:		Answer:	
Is there a ballpark budget amount that we should be aiming for in our cost proposal?		There is no identified budget for the consultant's scope of work. See answer to question 5 for additional information on project funding sources.	

ID:	3	Source:	Email
Question:		Answer:	
I noticed that the phone number for Urban Ecology has been disconnected, even though it shows that they will be playing a significant role in the review and input to this project. (1) So, are they still in business? (2) Should some portion of the project budget be allocated to them (are they a non-profit and need the money?) (3) And what can you tell me about their role on this project?		(1) Urban Ecology is still a functional non-profit organization. (2) None of the work scoped in this RFP needs to provide a budget for Urban Ecology. However, Urban Ecology may obtain the proposers assistance for Optional Tasks as identified in the RFP. (3) Urban Ecology is a co-sponsor of the project, see organization chart.	

ID:	4	Source:	Email
Question:		Answer:	
Can the following Sections be included in an Appendix rather than in the 20 page body: Section C - LBE/SLBE/VSLBE Certifications Section E - Performance Measures Section F - Levine Act Disclosures Section G - Conflict of Interest Section H - Assurances and Miscellaneous Section I - Exceptions to the Professional Services Contract Attachment D - Project Consultant Team Listing and Professional Services Questionnaire		Follow the guidelines as identified in Section III.	

ID:	5	Source:	Email
Question:		Answer:	
What is the funding source and amount for this RFP Scope of Work? This may affect the delivery strategy if funds are severely limited.		This RFP scope of work will be funded from the following project sources: a. Measure B Cycle 4 Bicycle/Pedestrian Discretionary Funds (\$527,000), which includes a grant agreement with Urban Ecology for \$70,000. b. Coastal Conservancy (\$35,000) The Authority is currently applying for and continually seeking additional grant/funding opportunities.	

**RFP Question and Answers
for
RFP 10-01
East Bay Greenway Project
Engineering, Environmental Clearance and Implementation Strategy**

ID: 6	Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting
Question: Can you describe the role of Urban Ecology as co-sponsor of the project?	Answer: Urban Ecology's key role will be in coordinating public outreach activities and consensus-building in the community. The consultant hired for this RFP maybe required to assist the entire project team with some of these activities and/or maybe retained by Urban Ecology.
ID: 7	Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting
Question: Should the costs of the optional tasks be included in the final proposal? Or, should it be submitted separately?	Answer: The cost proposal should be based on the RFP Scope of Work. Additional cost information for the optional tasks should be itemized seperately.
ID: 8	Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting
Question: The RFP seems to be focused on the engineering aspect of the project, for example the 30% engineering plan, paving, striping, etc., whereas the Concept Plan discusses ideas beyond the engineering aspect of the project such as the overall goal of the project. Please explain.	Answer: The RFP has a primary focus on the engineering and environmental requirements to deliver the project and to incorporate various design features, as appropriate.
ID: 9	Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting
Question: Can you speak about 30% engineering plans and whether it should include improvements such as improvements to BART track columns, planting, plazas, community identity and neighborhood identity elements, etc.?	Answer: One of the key tasks of the RFP is to identify, pursue and secure additional grant funding for this project. This project is anticipated to be developed in stages as funding becomes available. The project's RFP is focused on the engineering, environmental clearance and implementation strategy, and additional tasks are optional.
ID: 10	Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting
Question: Is Section H on page 18 of the RFP 101 is the reference to only the Prime contractors or Prime and Sub contractors?	Answer: The Authority requires the information from both Prime and subcontractors.
ID: 11	Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting
Question: A potential firm could be a large national firm/internation firm, how should the client list be handled?	Answer: The firm should at least provide a list of clients that reside in the Bay Area, as the Authority is primarily interested in the kinds of clients and is relied upon to identify any potential conflicts of interests.

**RFP Question and Answers
for
RFP 10-01
East Bay Greenway Project
Engineering, Environmental Clearance and Implementation Strategy**

ID: 12

Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting

Question:

Have you coordinated with Caltrans regarding the environmental documents if they are involved in this project?

Answer:

Coordination activities with Caltrans have not commenced, currently federal funding for the project has not been identified. It is anticipated that the project will eventually secure federal funds and will coordinate with Local Assistance to perform the preliminary environmental survey and field review to determine the level of environmental documents that will be required.

ID: 13

Source: Pre-Proposal Meeting

Question:

Should the proposal declare CEQA now and the NEPA as an additional task?

Answer:

Although federal funds are not secured yet on this project, we are anticipating federal funds. The Authority has submitted applications for both appropriations and earmarks. The Authority is moving forward with the presumption that federal funds will be part of this project. The Authority has obtained both local and regional funds and will utilize them to leverage additional funding, including federal funds. The proposal should assume that both NEPA and CEQA will be pursued.